

FOR COORDINATION WITH

State

**OUTGOING  
TELEGRAM**

U.S. STATE DEPT. OFFICE  
WASHINGTON, D.C.

**Department of State**

CONFIDENTIAL

5706

SENT TO: AmConsul, JERUSALEM 454  
INFO: Embassy, PARIS 5352  
UNIN 977  
Embassy, LONDON VIA POUCH  
Embassy, BRUSSELS VIA POUCH  
Embassy, ROME VIA POUCH  
Embassy, MADRID VIA POUCH  
Embassy, ANKARA VIA POUCH  
Embassy, TEL AVIV VIA POUCH

DO NOT  
VCL 12-12-60  
VCL 12-12-60  
CL 12-12-60  
CL 12-12-60  
CL 12-12-60  
CL 12-12-60  
CL 12-12-60  
CL 12-12-60

PRIORITY

Congen's 316

You may inform French C degen that you have consulted Department and ascertained there has been no rpt no change in U.S. attitude toward status of Jerusalem. You may wish to note that our views appear to parallel his own.

Emb Paris should not rpt not take initiative this matter but if subject raised by GOF Emb should respond along above lines.

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY  
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY  
SOURCES METHODS EXEMPTION 3B2B  
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT  
DATE 2000 2005

ACTING

DILLON

Pouched by DC/F.

Drilled by  
A: ME: AB Meyer; W: Hamilton: am 6/16/60

Telegraphic transcription and  
classification approved by

ME - Armin H. Meyer

RECEIVED  
RESPONSIBLE FOR MAILING  
RECORD OF DESTRUCTION OF  
DISPOSITION IS AUTOMATIC  
WITH CS1-51-11, 13 AND 15

CONFIDENTIAL

UNLESS "UNCLASSIFIED"  
REPRODUCTION FROM THIS  
COPY IS PROHIBITED.

201-47132

FROM: Paris

TO: Secretary of State

NO: 5860, June 16, noon

SENT DEPARTMENT 5860; REPEATED INFORMATION DELAYED 561,  
BUENOS AIRES 49, BONN 561, LONDON 11,000, SIN 110

Reference EMAT 5843

Foreign Office Ambassador conveyed information that  
Eichmann affair with Mr. Ben Gurion

Lucet, who conveyed this information, said he himself  
had seen Ben Gurion's Chief of Cabinet at luncheon and talked  
with him at some length about Eichmann affair. This ample  
opportunity afforded for Israel's interest in France's intervention  
or disavowal, but even more for his decision. Consequently  
French hesitates to raise matter.

Lucet said for consideration of matter Security Council  
inappropriate as Eichmann affair is not threat to peace.

PARIS HENRI TRIBUNE today reports that Israeli sources  
Mr. Ben Gurion will probably meet President Frontiz in the  
Hague or Brussels to discuss Eichmann affair. Mr. Ben Gurion  
leaves France for Brussels 20th. President Frontiz is due  
Brussels June 24.

LOUGHEON

LSA/3

CONFIDENTIAL

Classification

REPRODUCTION FROM THIS  
COPY IS PROHIBITED  
UNLESS UNCLASSIFIED

721-47132

-2- 1401. JUNE 20. 1961. FROM NEW YORK

PROPOSITION RETURN OF EICHMANN TO ARGENTINE EMB WITH 100 OR ESPECIALLY CONSTITUTED INTERNATIONAL COURT TO DECIDE WHAT SHOULD BE DONE WITH HIM. ESHEL SAID ANY RETURN OF EICHMANN TO ARGENTINE CUSTODY UNDER WHICH ISRAEL NOT ASSURED OF HIS SUBSEQUENT RETURN TO ISRAELI CONTROL WAS UNACCEPTABLE TO ISRAELIS.

4. ESHEL SAID ISRAEL WAS PREPARED MAKE AMENDS TO ARGENTINA BUT NOT TO GIVE EICHMANN BACK. HE THOUGHT THEY WOULD BE PREPARED TO MAKE TOKEN MONETARY REPARATION SUCH AS PAYING FIVE HUNDRED OR ONE THOUSAND ISRAELI POUNDS. IF CASE WENT TO SC GENERAL RES ALONG LINES ADOPTED IN U-2 CASE WOULD BE MOST THAT SHOULD BE DONE. HE DID NOT SEE HOW SC MEMBERS POSSIBLY COULD VOTE FOR RES CALLING FOR RETURN OF EICHMANN TO ARGENTINA IN LIGHT ARGENTINE RECORD IN HARBORING NAZIS AND REFUSING EXTRADITION OF SIMILAR CRIMINALS TO WEST GERMANY AND IN LIGHT STRONG REACTIONS THERE WOULD BE TO SUCH RES FROM SEGMENTS OF PUBLIC OPINION IN "VARIOUS COUNTRIES".

5. HE ALSO SAID HE DID NOT SEE HOW SC MEMBERS COULD SAY ARGENTINA HAD PROPERLY USED POSSIBILITIES OF NEGOTIATIONS CALLED FOR UNDER ART 33 OF CHARTER, ESPECIALLY AS ARGENTINES HAD GIVEN THEM 7-DAY ULTIMATUM ON RETURN OF EICHMANN AND THEN "INSOLENTLY" CALLED FOR SC SESSION. HE STRESSED THAT ISRAEL WAS PREPARED TO CONSIDER VARIOUS MEANS OF SOLVING ISSUE AS LONG AS THEY DID NOT INVOLVE TURNING EICHMANN OVER TO ARGENTINA. HE SAID ISRAEL WOULD TAKE VERY MODERATE LINE IN SC - THEY DID NOT INTEND TO REFER TO PRO-NAZI RECORD OF ARGENTINA, ALTHOUGH THEY THOUGHT OTHERS WOULD. HE ALSO ARGUED THAT ARGENTINA HAD POOR LEGAL CASE ON EICHMANN BECAUSE EICHMANN HAD NOT LEGALLY BEEN IN ARGENTINA. HE ALSO CITED CASE IN US COURTS, WHICH HE SAID HAD GONE TO SUPREME COURT, IN WHICH JURISDICTION OF US COURT WAS UPHELD EVEN THOUGH INDIVIDUAL HAD BEEN KIDNAPPED IN PERU AND BROUGHT TO US.

BARCO

TR/23

CONFIDENTIAL  
**BEST AVAILABLE COPY**

201-471320